



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/710,487

11/10/2000

John Josef Hench

VOY-030

5334

26875 7590 08/28/2009
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP
2700 CAREW TOWER
441 VINE STREET
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER

LY, ANH VU H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/28/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Art Unit: 2416

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed May 04, 2009.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-20, 22, 24-31, 33, and 35-41 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-20, 22, 24-31, 33, and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Green et al (US Patent No. 6,625,255 B1). Hereinafter, referred to as Green.

With respect to claims 1, 13, 20, and 30, Green discloses a method for the prediction and optimization of a communication system (Figs, 1, 4, and 5) comprising:

inputting data from a plurality of channels into a prediction module of the communications system (Fig. 5, block 512);

predicting a performance of at least one of the plurality of channels using a plurality of parameters to characterize the performance of the at least one of the plurality of channels (Fig. 5, block 506);

creating at least one transform function model of the at least one of the plurality of channels, wherein the at least one transfer function model is simulated using physical

Art Unit: 2416

configuration information of the communications system (col. 7, lines 56-60, the characterization may also include the development of a transfer function that reflects the results of the field testing of the reference loop and this transfer function may be employed in reference loop simulation); and

optimizing the parameters of at least one of the plurality of channels in order to improve a bit rate of the at least one of the plurality of channels in the communication system (col. 7, line 64 – col. 8, line 9).

With respect to claims 2, 20, and 31, Green discloses that wherein predicting the performance of the at least one of the plurality of channels comprises:

inputting data from at least one channel of the communications system into a prediction module (Fig. 4, block 400);

determining an impairment on the at least one channel (Fig. 4, block 410);

characterization the at last one channel using the at least one transfer function model and the impairment (col. 4, lines 21-25, this characterization may include the development of a transfer function which models the effects, such as attenuation, flat noise, and coupled noise of the reference loop on signals, such as tones, transmitted through it).

With respect to claims 4, 22, and 33, Green discloses that wherein the at least one transfer function model is simulated using a spectrum management system (col. 7, lines 3-5, the attenuation profile is applied to a loop simulation across a signal frequency range, such as an

Art Unit: 2416

ADSL signal frequency range. Herein, loop simulation across a signal frequency range is a bandwidth simulation system).

With respect to claims 6, 24, and 35, Green discloses that wherein the impairment is selected from the group consisting of cross-talk impairment, AM radio interference, a temperature impairment, and any combination thereof (col. 7, lines 53-55).

With respect to claims 7 and 36, Green discloses that wherein optimizing the parameters comprises:

- a) choosing a first parameter for the at least one of the plurality of channels (Fig. 4, block 406);
- b) choosing a second parameter for the at least one of the plurality of channels (Fig. 4, block 408);
- c) determining an optimization criteria for the channel based upon the first parameter and second parameter (Fig. 4, block 410);
- d) repeating a) - c) until the optimization criteria is optimized for the communication system (Fig. 4).

With respect to claims 8, 15, 25, and 37, Green discloses that wherein the communications system is a wireline communications system (Fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2416

With respect to claims 9, 16, 26, and 38, Green discloses that wherein the communications system is a wireless communications system (col. 1, line 57).

With respect to claims 10, 17, 27, and 39, Green discloses that wherein the communications system is an optical communications system (col. 1, line 58).

With respect to claims 11, 18, 28, and 40, Green discloses that wherein the communications system is a cable communications system (Fig. 3).

With respect to claims 12, 19, 29, and 41, Green discloses that wherein the communications system is a DSL communications system (Fig. 3).

With respect to claim 14, Green discloses that wherein the design criteria are selected from the group consisting of a cost of deployment, a signal to noise ratio, total revenue, bit rate, and any combination thereof (Fig. 4).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 04, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in page 8 that Green fails to disclose optimizing parameters of a channel in order to improve the bit rate. Green simply increases the transmission rate in order to find a maximum transmission rate within an acceptable bit error rate. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Art Unit: 2416

Herein, the transmission rates are the parameters of the channels and the maximum transmission rates are the optimized parameters of the channels.

Applicant further argues in page 9 that green fails to disclose characterizing a channel using both the transfer function and impairment. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 20 recites "characterizing the at least one channel using the **at least one** transfer function model and the impairment". Herein, claim 20 clearly recites that at least one transfer function model and the impairment. Therefore, Green teaches transfer function model and/or the impairment. Then the claim is read by the prior art. Further, Examiner would like to point out the fact that the impairment can be separated and/or included in the transfer function model.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2416

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANH-VU H. LY whose telephone number is (571)272-3175.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anh-Vu H Ly/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416